

Roman Project News

November 2021

Some project news items

- Mission CDR Sept 20-27
- Lots of things are being built and tested (more from Jeff)
- Formulation Science Working Group disbanded
 - Science Investigation teams contracts have ended
 - Held closeout workshop last week

Covid-19 impacts

- COVID-19 operations March 2020 - September 2021 affected project efficiency and global supply chains during project's planned peak years
- Roman execution efficiency April 2020 – March 2021 averaged 70%
 - On-site work constraints, collaboration challenges etc
- Global supply chains experienced significant impacts
 - Increased no-bids; bid durations increased, sometimes doubling; vendors unable to offer expediting options; deliveries slipped, sometimes without warning; choke points developed,
 - Extremes of the supply chain impacts resulted in very large delays in a handful of significant deliveries;
 - 6 months longer for the WFI Beryllium Element Wheel
 - Global shortages in supply chain for raw materials drove multi-week delays from all composite vendors; put optical bench on critical path along with the element wheel
 - Schedule slack and then reserves were adjusted, applied, and eventually consumed to mitigate the impact to critical path -- led to an overall schedule replan

Cost and Schedule – some definitions

- Management Agreement (MA)
 - Agreement between NASA HQ and Roman project
 - Includes schedule and funding reserves held/controlled by the Roman project
- Agency Baseline Commitment (ABC)
 - Agreement between NASA HQ and stakeholders (e.g. congress)
 - Relative to MA, includes additional schedule and funding reserves held/controlled by NASA HQ
 - It is a big deal to change this!

Covid Replan

- Baseline schedule in Feb 2020
 - MA launch readiness date: Dec 2025
 - ABC launch readiness date: Oct 2026
- Carefully tracked covid-related cost and schedule impacts separately from everything else, assuming covid impacts continue through Sept 2021
- Covid Replan schedule (May 2021):
 - MA launch readiness date: July 2026
 - ABC launch no later than: May 2027
 - (i.e. 7 month schedule slip, cost increase of \$382M to both MA and ABC)

Critical Design Review

- What is the CDR?
 - One of a series of a half-dozen “Lifecycle Reviews”
- What has to be demonstrated at CDR?
 - All designs completed.
 - All trade studies completed.
 - Prototypes of all new designs built and tested.
 - All interface control and manufacturing documents/drawings completed.
 - Tests of prototypes demonstrate that requirements are met with requisite margins
 - Test plans must be mature
 - Test plans comprehensively address all requirements
 - Test facilities available
 - Test equipment is available or has appropriate plans for development
 - Staffing is in place
 - Schedule is complete and supports launch date with requisite margin
 - Integrated master schedule contains all activities with links, including all partners
 - Can be tens of thousands of events
 - Planned resources supports the integrated master schedule
 - Budgets, staffing, facilities...

Critical Design Review

- The lifecycle reviews are not an event, but a process.
- NASA HQ charters a Standing Review Board (SRB) to conduct these reviews
- The CDR itself was 6 days, covering the spacecraft and the mission as a whole
- Close to 100 Engineering Peer Reviews are held in advance of the CDR
 - Panels typically include a subset of SRB members
 - The CDR provides the big picture view; the detailed technical review occurs in the EPRs
- The meeting part of the review focused largely on a summary of the technical aspects
- In parallel, the project works with the SRB over a period of months to brief them on the details of the budget and the integrated master schedule
- The SRB performs an independent assessment of the budget and schedule
- (we are here)
- Following the CDR, the SRB reports at the following series of meetings:
 - Goddard Center Management Council - planned 11/23
 - Goddard decides on baseline to recommend for proceeding with the mission
 - NASA HQ Science Mission Directorate Program Management Council (DPMC) – planned 12/7
 - SMD decides on baseline to recommend for proceeding with the mission
 - NASA HQ Agency Program Management Council (APMC) – planned 1/20
 - This is where final updates to the budget and schedule for the mission are determined

Critical Design Review Outcome

- We passed!
 - Findings include 14 Strengths, 1 Issue, 17 Concerns and 13 Observations
- Independent cost and schedule assessment find mission is achievable within ABC cost and schedule with high confidence
- Issue – inadequate project reserves within MA cost and schedule
 - Outcome of CMC, DPMC and APMC is likely to shift MA launch date by a few months; no change to ABC